SRP Price Process Comments Week ending December 28, 2024

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/22/2024

Name: Paul Jobs

Record Number:10c73f26Delivery Method:Digital SubmissionComment:What if are on the budget plan? Can we stay on our current plan?

Name: Alan Scherling

Record Number: 897aba02

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Pleas avoid a rate increase! Especially seniors on fixed income are maxed out. A rate increase may force some to have to sell their home in order to survive! Please don't do it!

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/23/2024

Name: michelle flowers

Record Number: 15f44572

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

I have solar and already give in to the grid without a kickback of any money. I do not believe my rates should be raised for this reason.

Name: B. C. Lamb

Record Number: 6797a1ae

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

PLEASE, for seniors our car and medical and home insurance has almost doubled, our rent for HOA went up 15%, groceries increased in price, and we got only a 2% increase in our Social Security. We are now overwhelmed with increases. We have tightened our belt as much as we possibly can. Can you PLEASE tighten YOUR belt some. Go through your OWN budget to see what can be eliminated. Our belt has no more notches to tighten. You will be killing seniors if we cannot use our electric heat and air conditioning.

Name: Maria Sanchez Rivas

Record Number: d1d0ce0c

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Se me hacen muy apropiados las propuestas de precio , pues en mi caso mis ingresos son limitados , ya q mi esposo esta Desabilitado por haber sufrido un Strock y muchas gracias por toda la informacion .

Name: Ana B Acosta

Record Number:93f4cc64Delivery Method:Digital SubmissionComment:For retired person prices are too high.

Name: Kevin Higgins

Record Number: 68498ddc

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Greetings, My name is Kevin Higgins. I am a Principal with Energy Strategies, a consulting firm working on behalf of the Arizona Large Customer Group (AZLCG) and its members, Freeport McMoRan, ASARCO, and Google. By way of background, I have participated in a number of previous SRP Pricing Processes on behalf of large SRP customers dating back to the late 1990s. The purpose of this message is to request certain information as part my efforts to assist AZLCG members in their evaluation of SRP's Proposed Pricing Plan. To that end, can you please provide the following documents in support of SRP's proposed Pricing Plan: 1. FR25 6-Year Financial Plan (FY26) 2. Fuel Budget FR25 3. FP25 Capital Budget 4. Cost Allocation Study, Appendix A Supporting Files 5. Excel workpapers, with formulas intact, supporting the Cost Allocation Study. Please feel free to email me of call with any questions or concerns regarding this request. Respectfully, Kevin Higgins, Energy Strategies

Name: Laurie Putnam

Record Number: 098c0e61

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

PLEASE dont raise the prices. We Seniors can't afford it! I don't see a justification for higher prices. Our fixed incomes can't take it! PLEASE!!!

Name: BARRY KOVAL

Record Number: 1f0e3da5

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

SRP already quietly raised electric rates by an excessive amount. There is no justification for further increases. Especially not for connection fees when those costs have not gone up appreciably. SRP stopped being one of the lowest cost electric providers in the Southwest USA a long time ago. There is no excuse for this. SRP needs to become more transparent and responsible to their customers.

Name: Gayle Savo

Record Number: 43589116

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Before entering into conversation regarding price increases, I would like to know how much revenue SRP has received from the monthly service charge. It's been in effect for years and years. I also would like to know what it was initially intended for, what it has actually been used for, how long it will be in effect. Also, does SRP have a "no frills" budget. As a utility, consumers have no choice as to who they use.

Name: Randy Dunton

Record Number: 57db68e1

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

It's very troublesome that SRP years ago became hostile towards distributed generation. The rate plans are not friendly for those that invest in our future by installing distributed generation. Further rate increases, especially targeted for those with solar, is especially unfair. We're talking about businesses and homeowners that are taking all the risk and tying up their own capital to help create a grid that is cleaner. SRP already quietly raised electric rates by an excessive amount recently,. There is no justification for further increases. Especially not for connection fees when those costs have not gone up appreciably. SRP stopped being one of the lowest cost electric providers in the Southwest USA a long time ago. There is no excuse for this. SRP needs to become more transparent and responsible to their customers. SRP also needs to become much more friendly towards those that install solar and batteries. It should be encouraged and not discouraged.

Name: Jeannette Sanchez

Record Number: 744971ab

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Per pubic information, we see how much Executives are paid at your company but then we (customers who have no options) continue to have to pay increased prices. Not all of us have the budget to continuing paying for increases, increases, increases. Yes, we need electric but how do we pay for this when our income stays the same?? Please help! JS

Name: Theresa Sella

Record Number: f7dfacad

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Can you please explain these changes in layman terms? According to this, the peak time frame is 6pm to 9pm. Today it is 3pm to 6pm. Is this an accurate take away? Your explanations are confusing and do not make sense.

Name: Russell Giddings

Record Number:64a6efa5Delivery Method:Digital SubmissionComment:Please provide purchase information for upcoming

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/24/2024

Name: Russell Giddings

Record Number:d82b1b99Delivery Method:Digital SubmissionComment:Please provide purchase information for upcoming

Name: Jennifer Trinh

Record Number:7f28ccb6Delivery Method:Digital SubmissionComment:No more price hikes!!! We can not thrive with these price hikes

Name: Philip Todd

Record Number: 0d732bd5

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Pinal County has approved over 24,000 acres of land for solar farms supplying energy to SRP and APS. Will that energy be used only in the grid or will it be used in Pinal County. Most of the residents in Gold Canyon are retired senior citizens. Is there a discount for senior citizens? Is there a discount for veterans. If SUN ZIA needs a transmission line from Casa Grande substation to Palo Verde so they can get wind power to LA, will they pay for it?

Name: Danny Anderson

Record Number: 54a43dce

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

The inflation has been very hard on retirees. The cost of food is still very pricy. Please consider how many of us live on Social Security in Mesa. Please don't add to our struggle. Keep the prices low! Please!

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/25/2024

Name: Cynthia Emery

Record Number: 7e9aafb8

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Please consider not Raising the cost of electricity. It's already high enough and it's hard to make ends meat.

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/26/2024

Name: Diamond

Record Number: cef0e15e

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Lets keep prices as they are families are dealing with price gouging in every aspect in our day to day living

Name: Joanna Durrant

Record Number: 97a00ce3

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Lower the rates. Quit focusing on spending and updates and profit. You want pay raises? How about giving the public a break?? The current rates are higher at times than rent used to be. In a summer climate of Phoenix,AZ, this is criminal.LOWER the rates. Power is too expensive, especially with a big family. Quit penalizing solar usage and trying to make a dollar off solar customers! Eliminate the extra solar charges, high usage hour extra charge, and give a higher generation pay back. Where there is money, there follows greed and corruption. It is the innocent public and households such as my own that pay the price and feel exploited. Doing without power is a matter of health in this day and age.

Name: Mark Krumrey

Record Number: bfa4a908

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

With runaway inflation eating a larger portion of our retirement income, another rate increase would take a larger bite out of our monthly pensions. As an elected board part of your job is to represent your constituency. However, I am sure your decisions have already been made and this is just a ruse to make people think their voices will be heard. "This is our decision, what do think"? The only trust I have in any govt agency, is that you will do what ever you want, the voters notwithstanding.

Name: Evan Carbonneau

Record Number: c20be93d

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

I do not support raising rates and changing rate plans to hours that don't work for customers all to support continuing investments in non-renewables especially when demand is going towards things like data centers. Those customers should pay the brunt of price increases and SRP should be actively working towards carbon-free generation not expanding natural gas generation and further contributing to climate change.

Name: Michael L Layser

Record Number: a8aff285

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Your price plan announcements are intentionally vague and therefore most likely not in our best interest. I will be finding a community group to bring awareness against SRP anti solar policies.

Name: Eric Kostelich

Record Number: 32dd3051

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

While I appreciate SRP's commitment to gradualism in the face of rising costs, the proposed E16 plan represents an increase of 25 to 40 percent, depending on season, for my current usage under E27P, even if I were able to move 40 percent of my usage to the new super off-peak times. The proposed E28 TOU plan is little better - customers will pay a premium for energy, relative to the basic plan, of 16-25 percent or more for 17 hours per day. In my opinion, there is little reason for residential customers to elect any but the basic plan, because the financial incentives to conserve during peak hours on the proposed E16 and E28 plans are too small to be worth the trouble. The proposed plans represent a very large cost increase to current demand-plan residential customers.

Name: Colleen Montemayor

Record Number: 09fe6efb

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Please do not raise prices. We do not qualify for discounts and our bill is way too high. We don't mind helping others out, but this is getting to be too much!

Name: Ashley Gucinski

Record Number: 701b67c3

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

SRP has already raised prices quietly an excessive amount. There is no justification for further increases, especially not for connection fees when these costs have not gone up appreciably. SRP stopped being one of the lowest cost suppliers in the southwest long ago. More transparently and commitment to customers is needed. Less money spent on sponsorship and instead on infrastructure repairs would be wise instead of relying on customers to support those activities.

Name: Sarah B

Record Number: 53ca5a9e

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

SRP says the Board makes pricing decisions based on equity i.e. customers should pay their fair share of costs SRP incurs on their behalf. How is it equitable when SRP gives away FREE EV charging to EV owners at the Phoenix Zoo, while making all other customers pay for that perk provided to those already well off?

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/27/2024

Name: Harsh Patel

Record Number: 32e32712

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

New plans seem to be going in wrong direction you are removing net metering really in Arizona having solar suppose to be good you are charging us more for connection and with demand charge you are punishing customer who get solar if you will remove net metering at list offer lower price per KW with out nonsense on demand charge.

Name: Jenna Delaney

Record Number: f7eac634

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Enough on the price increases! Do you consider the health of families? In the summer air conditioning is not a luxury item.

Name: Richard Vales

Record Number:b3142432Delivery Method:Digital SubmissionComment:appreciate your time.

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 12/28/2024

Name: Candace Jover

Record Number: e9c255ab

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Pls do not raise prices. I am a single woman raising a family already rates are too high to keep up with. Summers are extremely high for a 1,200 square foot apartment. Each year prices increase.

Name: Charles Minard

Record Number: d90bdae6

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

I strongly oppose the proposed price increase by SRP, to fund updates to the electric grid. While infrastructure improvements are important, the burden of funding these projects should not be disproportionately placed on customers, especially without clear transparency regarding the necessity and cost-effectiveness of these upgrades. Given rising costs of living, a rate hike would unfairly strain households and businesses already managing tight budgets. The company must explore alternative funding options, demonstrate fiscal responsibility, and provide a detailed justification to ensure customers are not being asked to shoulder excessive or unjustified expenses.

Name: Alan W. Wilson

Record Number: 6fff3b89

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Does SRP impose any additional fees on new developments that result in the need for additional power and water use? It seems those new developments that require an increase in infrastructure power grid capacity and demand for additional water should be charged additional fees to help cover the additional costs associated with increasing capacity. Thank you, Alan W. Wilson