

SRP Price Process Comments

Week ending February 15, 2025

SRP Public Price Process

Comments from: 2/10/2025

Name: Yvonne Russell

Record Number: d7377b3d

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

You used public funds this is a public utility how many times are you going to raise your prices and why in the summer I can hardly afford to keep the lights on I am so sorry to have to sit in the dark and the power continues to go out part of the day I think the price should be going down not up Shame on you

Name: J. Katherine Hinson

Record Number: 68f99a81

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Please do not increase your prices. We are spread too thin as it is.any senior citizens are as well and this can leave them dangerously over heated in the summer especially. No one should ever have to choose between food or medicine and their electric bill.

Name: Joy Seitz

Record Number: MI7021837

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Amendment 1_final.pdf; Please accept this document into the record for the rate case - Thank you. .pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference Record #MI7021837*

Comment:

See attached letter from American Solar and Roofing.



February 10, 2025

Salt River Project Board of Directors
c/o Corporate Secretary
1500 N. Mill Ave.
Tempe, AZ 85288

RE: Act Now to Protect Rooftop Solar and Its Customers

Dear Salt River Project Board of Directors,


Founded in 2001, American Solar & Roofing is Arizona's original solar installation company and a SRP Preferred Solar Installer. The "solar rates" proposed by Management (E-16 and E-28) necessitate battery integration for customer adoption to occur. While AS&R strongly advocates for the deployment of utility-scale energy storage as a critical component of a resilient, sustainable energy grid, we do not recommend, nor do we sell, residential batteries.

SRP must not turn its back on its solar customers while industry makes a more reliable battery solution. **We urge the SRP Board of Directors to motion for and adopt the following amendment language at the next board meeting:**

- 1. Preserve the E-14 rate structure for solar-only customers (with or without EVs). Cap participation of 5,000 customers per year.**
- 2. Adopt Management's recommendation for calculating the Export Rate.**
- 3. Align the E-14 basic service charge with other rate structures.**

Below are several key reasons why we do not support residential battery adoption currently:

- Between 70-90% of residential battery systems contain cells manufactured in China. With the new administration proposing additional tariffs on Chinese products, the cost of cells will rise, making the adoption of residential batteries less economically viable.





- Installing a functional residential battery system is complicated. Whether they exist in a Virtual Power Plant (VPP) network or are stand-alone, battery systems require competent management and technical support.
- Solar installers throughout the nation are experiencing high failure rates with reputable battery solutions. This places the installation company at financial and legal risk.
- Some battery solutions are on back order for up to six months, making deployment unpredictable.
- At least one study from Australia shows that capacity degradation occurs quickly when batteries are cycled often. This degradation impacts the financial viability of the system. Ratepayers being encouraged to adopt Management's proposed "solar" rate will begin to experience financial losses within a few years of cycling their battery.
- Safety.

Since SRP proposed the E-32 rate back in 2014, AS&R has been dedicated to finding a reliable and safe battery solution for our customers. Our customers ask for a solution regularly. SRP's grid reliability does not necessitate the adoption of batteries for self-consumption and SRP's E-14 rate is "equitable" for a solar-only homeowner and SRP.



Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Joy E. Seitz". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Joy E. Seitz

CEO

American Solar & Roofing



Name: Marilyn Lindberg

Record Number: 7bf46b68

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

We are senior citizens and a high increase in our bill may pose a problem. I hope we can afford your increase!!

Name: Caryn Potter

Record Number: MI7024599

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: FW_ Question on SRP's Monthly Service Charge Cost Categorization.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference Record #MI7024599*

Comment:

A response request for additional information on SWEEP01.

1) Can you please provide the FERC Account Numbers SRP includes in the three customer retail components that make up the Monthly Service Charge? Specifically, SWEEP would like to understand which FERC Accounts are included in the Billing and Customer Service, Meter, and Distribution Facilities Customer Charges and if any other Retail Components would be included in the total Monthly Service Charge as proposed.

2) Please clarify the discrepancy between the total annual costs recovered through the Monthly Service Charge for the E-23 Price Plan in two different sources: **\$251,851,307.00** in the CAS Schedule 9 and **\$129,827,460.00** in the Phase 2 Revenue Model. What accounts for this difference?

Both questions are in reference to the following SRP Datasets received by SWEEP:

- 2025 Cost Allocation Study Schedule 9
 - FP2025 Phase 2 Revenue Model
-

Name: Alan Langton

Record Number: b39ec323

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Two years ago I installed solar knowing that it would take 12 to 13 years to pay back, longer than I would have liked, but I decided it would be worth the investment. I am on the E27 demand price plan and although I do not like the demand fees and how they are structured, I work with it and try to keep my demand down during on-peak hours. The net metering works with the demand fee schedule and the current on and off peak hours, so I can try to keep my costs down and pay back the system. The proposed plans however are terrible for solar customers like myself. With these plans, I most likely would not have gotten solar. It wouldn't have been worth it. Last year I produced more (22732 kWh) than what I used (20800 kWh). With all the fees, I still paid \$752. With the proposed plans, I would have paid \$1939 with the E28 price plan. The E16 plan would have been only \$80 cheaper using the same 2024 kWh demand as the E27 plan, however because of the increased summer on-peak hours (until 10pm vs 8pm), my demand would actually be higher trying to cool the home late at night, making this plan not any better than the E28 plan. The amount I would pay on these proposed plans is 2.5 times the amount I currently pay. This is outrageous. Plans with the export credit do not work with customers like myself. The export credit is so low in comparison with the usage price, there is not much benefit to having your own solar. The amount I would save (around \$1000) is much less than what I would pay (\$1939) even though I generate more than I use. The on-peak hours (5-10pm) for the E16 plan are terrible, especially during the summer. For normal working people who work during the day, there is no off peak time to do anything before bed. I currently own an EV which I charge at night when costs are cheaper. With these plans, there is not much benefit to charging at night, when most of the charging will happen. For most people who work, the super off peak hours will not be a benefit, especially for charging an EV that you use to go to work. Instead of 13 years to pay off the system, it will now take over 25 years which is close to the life of the system. This is much worse than our neighboring states, even California. If these plans go into effect as they are designed, the residential solar industry in Arizona will not be profitable and solar companies will be put out of business. SRP will become a monopoly in solar production. Overall, I am very disappointed with what SRP is trying to do to their customers. I understand the need to increase fees to pay for things. I understand the need for solar customers to pay a little more, but not that much more. We also have solar infrastructure that we take care of that SRP does not, which should be a benefit to them. These plans, if approved, will be a detriment to the solar community. SRP has bragged about the different options people have with their plans. Now they are taking even that away.

SRP Public Price Process

Comments from: 2/11/2025

Name: Norm Sandler

Record Number: MI7026177

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: 20250211_Comments_Sandler.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7026177*

Comment:

SRP Board Meeting – Speaking Notes – “Haste Makes Waste” 02/11/2025

Good Day Board President, Members & SRP Leadership Team.

My name is Norm Sandler, I'm a 25 year, SRP Time-Of-Use customer and my first comment is, please DO NOT cancel my current TOU plan. At a minimum, at least Evergreen it. Question, and I feel a bit guilty asking it, but why would a TOU plan need to be canceled? Is it costing SRP money? Which would infer, and I'm not saying it's true, but by definition, it would mean me, the ratepayer, is saving money. So, that's my first comment on the proposed rate changes.

Next, let's consider SRP's business status; it is a legally operating monopoly. Why are certain monopolies allowed, especially within the utility sector? Because the back-bone of their charter is to provide products and services that mitigate risk, are extraordinarily reliable and rates to not change “with the breeze”, i.e., market trends & forces. IOU utilities have been referred to as the “widows and orphans funds”; slow to change prices, steady operation, risk adverse and reliable returns.

For this, utilities have been given secured / protected service areas; no competition. As a guide rail, when regulated utilities want / need to increase rates, they typically must appear before a regulatory agency & make their case. After lots of testimony & negotiations, new rate tariffs are approved. This is a very transparent, long & tedious process, sometimes taking more than a year!

One of the critical factors for electric utilities is having “clear line of sight” to reliable and affordable electric supplies. This is based on numerous factors, such as fuel supply, transmission line availability & capacity and, most importantly, adequate generating capacity to properly, i.e., reliably, supply energy to their service area customers. And that means, having the vast majority of their energy generating assets being fully capable of providing a reliable base load supply, being fully dispatchable and in a position, long-term, to adjust to growing energy demand.

SRP’s currently proposed plan does not appear to have these guard-rails in place. Are we headed for a replay of California Y2K? Inadequate, local, utility-owned generating assets, creating the need to purchase power from remote, 3rd party providers, across congested transmission lines? Oh & a lack of water for hydro power. The result? Rolling brown-outs, spot-market energy prices over \$5,000 per MWh & billions of economy dollars lost due to businesses not being able to operate, let alone medical emergencies. In California’s case, the majority of utilities were investor owned, so their stock prices & shareholders took the hit. SRP is a co-op, so who takes the hit? Rate payers? The State? How is this “risk adverse”?

I constantly hear the argument that “renewables” are the cheapest cost assets for electric energy generation”; that is false for a host reasons, but let’s stick to the proposed SRP price increase. One of the reasons that renewables are “cheap” is that they are heavily subsidized by Federal & State monies, grants.

These monies come from we, the tax payers. What happens? Our taxes go up to pay for the grants. Is this not a hidden rate increase?

Let’s not stop there. Consider the new tariff rate schedule. How often have we heard that “most of the price increases will be on big business; they can afford it!”

And what does “big business”, actually, any business, do? Add that expense to the cost of goods sold, which raises the prices that you and I have to pay; another hidden rate increase.

Data centers are huge energy users and we’ve got lots coming into the SRP service areas; Mesa is expecting to land 14.8 million square feet of data centers. Huge energy and water users! Is SRP prepared for that? And if resources are to be curtailed, who gets cut off first? This is making the case for non-solar, stand-alone residential, UPS-type energy storage. So another rate tariff plan to be considered.

Food is also a big energy user; production, transportation, storage, sales requires energy use across the board. With the proposed SRP rate plan, “heavy users will see the highest increase.”

And yes, you guessed it, those increases will be passed along to customers

via higher food prices, again, resulting in more hidden rate increases. And directly hurting those who can least afford it.

A number of speakers, and Board Members, have suggested that this rate pricing process be slowed down... haste makes waste. Plus it's just good common sense. So count me in as well. Thank you.

** See attached article: Orange County, Officials enact emergency moratorium on new BESS in wake of fire at Moss Landing.

Risk Adverse? Reliable? Safe?



SUBSCRIBE TO PREMIUM

SIGN IN

News Premium Industry Updates Distributed Grid Scale Off Grid Market Analysis Software & Optimisation

PREMIUM

NEWS

Orange County, California officials enact emergency moratorium on new BESS in wake of fire at Moss Landing

By [Matthew Biss](#)

February 4, 2025



HOME NEWS EVENTS PUBLICATIONS EDUCATION JOBS SOFTWARE MAPS

Which software do you use for geotechnical data management? Take a moment to complete the survey.

Create a free account and view content that fits your specific interests in civil engineering [Learn More](#)

[#RenewableEnergyResilience](#)

[#StormDarraghImpact](#)

[#SustainableInfrastructure](#)

Solar Farms and Wind Turbines Tested and Failed by Storm Darragh

Dec, 09, 2024

Damage (Structural) , Structural Failures , Forensics , Green Infrastructure , Reconnaissance Of Natural Disasters , General Civil , News On Natural Disasters / Failures , Other News

United Kingdom | Authored by: [TheCivilEngineer.org](#)



Name: Amber Daniel

Record Number: MI7027282

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: 20250211_Comment_Daniels.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7027282*

Comment:

*See attached Letter



February 6, 2025

Re: Comments in response 2025 Pricing Process

Dear Members of the SRP Board of Directors,

My name is Amber Daniel. I live in Chandler, and I appreciate the opportunity to comment. I am both an SRP customer and a representative for the non-partisan, grassroots organization, [Mormon Women for Ethical Government](#) (MWEAG). Many of our organization's Arizona members are SRP customers with an interest in the current pricing structure proposal.

We support the change to lower the threshold for eligibility in the Economy Price Plan. We are grateful for this step to help more SRP customers afford their electric bill. We appreciate the increased discount as well, but have concerns that the additional \$2 may not be enough to offset the proposed 3.4% average annual increase.

We appreciate that this proposal equalizes solar and non-solar customers in regards to their monthly service charge. Parity in fixed fees between these customers is more ethical. However, we are concerned that the annual rate increase disproportionately burdens solar customers. These customers have installed solar panels on their homes to decrease their electric bill and help the environment. Yet the proposed 5.5% average increase in their bill penalizes their conservation efforts and discourages future customers from investing in clean energy options at a time when transitioning to renewable energy is critical. Solar customers among our membership have expressed that they feel unfairly targeted by these practices and discouraged about investing in Arizona's future through renewable energy. We urge you to treat all SRP customers fairly by charging all customers the same amount for their electricity regardless of whether they are solar or non-solar customers.

Finally, while we appreciate the more equitable monthly service charges for solar customers, we are still concerned by the overall increase for many households. Higher fixed customer charges mean lower usage-based charges. This means that customers have less ability to control the cost of their electric bill by reducing their consumption. With such a large increase in fixed charges, customers who are on a fixed income or struggling with the rising cost of living will be disproportionately affected. Instead of raising fixed charges, SRP should focus on incentivising energy efficient practices and programs in order to keep energy affordable and ensure a more sustainable future for Arizona.

We appreciate the members of the board for hearing our comments and respectfully request that you consider changing the proposed plan to be more equitable to solar customers and to encourage energy efficiency through usage-based charges rather than raising fixed charges.

Amber Daniel, Arizona Environmental Specialist

Mormon Women for Ethical Government is a private organization and is not endorsed by or affiliated with The Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. We do, however, fully sustain, honor, and uphold the Church's doctrines and leaders.

Name: Tammy Bosse

Record Number: 4d8a5f5b

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Thank you, SRP staff, for your service. As a community utility, we appreciate that you work to honor your responsibility to best serving your customers and the community. An essential part of that service is to encourage utility practices that are good for the economy and ecosystem as a comprehensive approach to decision making. Clean energy is an essential component for both ESPECIALLY in Arizona SRP territory where our sunshine is one of our greatest assets (our oil and gas so to speak). Since 2014 when that SRP Board made one of the most harmful decisions in its history, SRP's utility plans have made SRP one of the worst utilities in the nation for solar. Since Arizona's sunshine is one of our greatest strengths, but air quality and increasing prolonged searing heat is one of our greatest challenges, it is imperative that utilities such as SRP lean in and SUPPORT clean energy, rather than have policies that are punitive to clean energy. It is important to embrace solar as a valued PARTNER for low cost, clean energy, and not as an adversary. And it is important to give credit, where credit is due to solar. Treating solar customers differently with higher base fee for solar is an unfair discriminatory business practice. Period. It is also destructive to our community's overall economic and livability wellbeing. Solar helps reduce the burden on SRP's generation capacity expenses. It provides very low cost energy that SRP sells back for a good profit. It increases Arizona jobs which brings more SRP customers and more overall prosperity. It helps reduce carbon emissions making us all safer and healthier, and precious demands on our water supply. It helps improve our air quality. In short, Solar helps the overall health and economic wellbeing of our community, which will help keep SRP's income growing, as well. It is a win-win for all. But SRP keeps fighting and harming solar, instead of embracing it. Saying that solar costs SRP more than other customers is highly questionable. Where SRP is giving full credit for the income that SRP can generate from the price differential of 2.81 cents export rate and the retail rate that energy is sold for SRP should be incorporating the opportunities with solar, not taking ongoing actions to hurt solar. The current buyback rates are horribly low and SRP benefits excessively with that. Even paying a fair buyback, the cost of this power can be be less that with using dirty energy so SRP can benefit along with the solar energy producer that is sent to SRP. SRP is unfairly taking advantage of solar customers and does not seem to incorporate this when talking about the "cost" of solar customers. These proposed solar increases do not seem to give credit to solar customers for relieving generation demand on SRP or for providing inexpensive "wholesale". Questions: -I am asking management to

provides the calculations that factored in the cheap 2.81 cents rate that SRP pays for buyback when it is sold back to others at a much higher retail rate. Where is the line item total for SRP's income generation for purchasing kWh at way less than what you sell it for? -Is SRP fully incorporating the income opportunity with the electricity that solar generates and gives back at a cost way below wholesale cost to SRP? -Why does SRP not incentivize battery use with solar customers? My request is for SRP to incorporate the larger mission to best serve this community. Please look for opportunities to work with solar users to make it a win-win for all. If our heat is unbearable, if our air quality is so unhealthy that people do not want to live here, and if our solar jobs are lost because of punitive solar plans, then we all lose out economically and with a livable quality of life here. We are looking to you to live up to your role as stewards of this community as a community utility. Thank you very much for considering these concerns and opportunities.

Name: Tammy Bosse

Record Number: c212e041

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

I would not have seen the notice about tonight's meeting if I had not been following the rate case. As an SRP customer I did not see the information anywhere else. The timing of notice is woefully insufficient.

Name: Tammy Bosse

Record Number: 26f9e1cf

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Would you please consider doing the prayer in a non-denominational way?

Name: Tammy Bosse

Record Number: c57634c8

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Where does SRP give credit to the low wholesale cost of energy that solar customers sell back to SRP as \$.281cents (proposed \$.34 cents)? SRP uses that energy for other customers that pay retail rate. What is SRP's wholesale costs for the energy generated elsewhere? I would like to request a records request regarding this information be sent to

[REDACTED]
can be reached at [REDACTED] Thank you. Tammy Bosse

Name: Melanie F Fields

Record Number: e2184baf

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

As a solar customer who feeds the grid every single day, I am very concerned and angry about the plan to raise our electricity prices. We were basically forced to choose to give any surplus we produce back to the grid, and the incentive of lower prices for energy we use was a small reward compared to what we save SRP. Sounds like our choice for clean energy is great for SRP, not so great for customers.

Name: Richard January

Record Number: b7946313

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

As an engineer who worked over 40 years in nuclear power, I appreciate the need to recover the costs of providing power. I also understand that there needs to be a level of stability for those who invest in residential solar. Obviously, costs will rise as the cost of generation, materials and wages increase. Those costs should be equally spread over all consumers. I believe that it is unfair that those who had solar system several years ago are charged differently that those who invested more recently.

Name: Jacob Matthew Evenson

Record Number: cef87aae

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

"I am a Union Boilermaker from Local 627, and I fully support SRP proposing changes to their base rate plans. Supporting a reliable grid takes skilled and trained labor workforce. Building the Boilermakers Apprenticeship Program comes from supplying locally recruited young people from Arizona into the trades and into these good paying jobs. These recruits are retained from working on the maintenance of power plants and our established program will be ready for energy transition into new technologies." I am a Union Boilermaker, I am a graduate Arizona Boilermaker apprentice, and I am the Boilermakers Union Representative. I advocate for 463 Union members that want to work locally in this trade. Boilermakers Local 627 supports SRP and their upcoming rate increase case. Boilermakers work on scheduled maintenance outages and new infrastructure projects for all our Utilities. Our locals Apprenticeship Program is funded by hours worked at these facilities. Our contractors competitively bid on projects and that put local workforce on these projects. Recruiting, Training, and Employment of Arizona workforce and development means a lot to Arizonan's, and we look forward to this continued path of energy transition to support a clean and reliable electrical grid. Thank You,

Name: Tammy Bosse

Record Number: 3838bb82

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

I was a real estate broker in 2014 when SRP's rate actions badly wounded solar. It is considered one of the worse utilities in the nation. Solar is a major economic strength and opportunity in SRP territory but SRP singlehandedly hurts this major economic opportunity that also helps SRP serve its responsibility as a community opportunity. All of SRP's customer base needs a livable community and jobs. SRP prospers more with more jobs, as well. Again, when calculating the cost to deliver service to solar customers are you giving solar credit for the low cost energy that solar provides for SRP to sell back to customers at retail rates? I have asked about this numerous times. Please respond. Thank you.

Name: Barry Thompson

Record Number: a858c0cb

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

I am a Union Boilermaker with Local 627 in Phoenix. I am a graduate apprentice and a Trustee on the Executive Board. I support SRP's proposed rate increase, because I believe it necessary to maintain a resilient grid. SRP will need to provide energy to meet an ever increasing demand, and this rate increase seems modest under the circumstances.

Name: Kaye E Skinner

Record Number: 726d7443

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

The change in price effects me like all price changes do. Srt 6.57, rent 66.99, MVD 500.00, new catalytic converter on the car to pass submissions test 1160.00. It all keeps adding up to take a great deal of money out of the budget!

Name: Benjamin Hill

Record Number: e4068722

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

We decided to install solar on our roof to help our environment and offset the rising cost of electricity. It's incredibly disappointing, however, to see that, though our use is so much lower, we haven't saved an equivalent amount when compared to prior years because the cost of electricity has risen so much. SRP needs to do something to make solar more attractive rather than penalize solar users with higher rates.

SRP Public Price Process

Comments from: 2/12/2025

Name: Anthony Kostura

Record Number: 5212b109

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Since you are making decisions with my money on my behalf, stop doing anything that is not the cheapest option possible. Turn the coal power plants back on. Build more coal power plants. Stop buying expensive solar power. If some small subsets insist on green energy left them personally pay for it instead of forcing higher options on me and forcing me to pay for it.

Name: Consuelo Nunez

Record Number: 4a76dc80

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Solar Power was a choice given to help the homeowner save money. Now the best way to help SRP is to penalize them? You are obtaining control by force. This is outrageous.

Name: Bryant Powell

Record Number: 3d5b6c67

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I am a 24 year residential SRP customer and I am also the City Manager of the City of Apache Junction. The challenge is great to be a steward of the public good. I have been with my city in a leadership role for over 20 years and been through many water rate change public hearings. Each year, I work closely with my community and city council dealing with how to keep rates affordable and at the same time provide safe, clean drinking water. The same with waste water rates. With recent annual inflation rates providing tremendous upward pressure on your costs for labor and materials, leadership has tough duty and I would say responsible to look at our community and raise rates so that we can continue to keep our residents safe. This is about Water, Power, and Safety. This is life! The SRP team including, management, staff and governing body have been meeting this challenge for decades. You all have some of the lowest electrical utility rates in the West. Thank you! I support that now is the time to raise rates. The reality is that in order to provide and meet your highest priority of reliability to the customer, you must keep up with those upward cost pressures, or you will fall behind on maintenance of the system. You may already be behind and you may not know it yet. That is the reality here. SRP is not immune to those economic realities. You have to keep the transmission lines, fleet and so much more maintained in your system. In fact, the ability to have not raised rates in some time really is a tribute to everyone at SRP. It is commendable. Thank you for helping keep the lights on. Thank you for considering a modest rate change in order to meet the obligation you have of debt service, labor and operations. We had a power outage in our City last summer, a power outage that lasted weeks in a mobile home park. You may have followed. During that time as a City leaders we worried every day that residents would be able to survive. In this case, the issue was on the private side of the electric issues. But just imagine a scenario where SRP without the ability to stay ahead of issues like you have done for decades the potential of being without reliable power in the summer months in AJ for example. Thank you for your considering our safety. Thank you for your service on the Board. I know it is not an easy task. I support a rate increase at this time.

Name: Mr. E. Scantlebury

Record Number: MI7041774

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Scantlebury.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7041774*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr E Scantlebury

Name: Michael Rosenfield

Record Number: MI7042525

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Rosenfield.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7042525*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Rosenfield

Name: Mr. K. Kleber

Record Number: MI7043734

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Kleber.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7043734*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. K Kleber

Name: Sharon Barone

Record Number: MI7044194

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Barone.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7044194*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Barone

Name: Frederick Fillmore

Record Number: MI7045264

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Fillmore.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7045264*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. As an SRP retiree, I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Frederick Fillmore

Name: Everett Morris

Record Number: MI7046154

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Morris.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7046154*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Everett Morris

Name: Catherine Williams

Record Number: MI7047458

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Williams.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7047458*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Catherine Williams

Name: Margaret Rinegar

Record Number: MI7048130

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Rinegar.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7048130*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms Margaret Rinegar

Name: Renee Rule

Record Number: MI7050537

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Rule.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7050537*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms. Renee Rule

Name: Dennis Stielow

Record Number: MI7051912

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Stielow.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7051912*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Dennis Stielow

Name: Steve Foley

Record Number: MI7052807

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Foley.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7052807*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Don't penalize homeowners who generate solar power. Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Foley

Name: Louise Stark

Record Number: MI7053652

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Stark.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7053652*

Comment:

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms. Louise Stark

Name: Lois Jordan

Record Number: MI7054738

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Jordan.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7054738*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lois Jordan

Name: Sherri Hodges

Record Number: MI7055451

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Hodges.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7055451*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherri Hodges

Name: Siobhan Ulreich-Power

Record Number: MI7056429

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Power.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7056429*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona needs to be a leader on this issue!!

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Siobhan Ulreich-Power

SRP Public Price Process

Comments from: 2/13/2025

Name: Norm Sandler

Record Number: c12dcbc0

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Haste Makes Waste: There were some interesting discoveries from Tuesday's meetings. Thank you again for having these. One was the impact of demand charges for residential customers with solar. I believe there may be a work-around using storage. I've done similar projects for large users that have shown very attractive ROI's. Happy to discuss. Next we learned that the quoted price for solar installations includes heavy State and Federal subsidies, almost doubling the "comparative price" of \$72 for CCGT vs. \$77 for solar. Those subsidies come from tax dollars which I'll be paying in another 2 months. So another hidden rate increase. Also, the asset life is substantially different. While 20 years for solar is the quoted life, in the field, it's more like 10 years vs. 50 years for CCGT. So now the comparative life-cycle price is more like \$150 per MW for CCGT vs. \$600 per MW for solar. Yep, another built-in rate increase. 4th is the Environmental Cost which, if the full solar plan goes thru, will require the scraping of hundreds sq. miles of current farm land. So natural carbon storage is crushed as are the habitats & lives of millions of creatures, both big & small. Reclamation of those lands will be required, footed by SRP rate payers, well our Kids. Then those generated electrons must travel hundreds of miles, thru pristine lands, some of which are Sacred to Native Americans & ruining their vistas for generations to come. But all of the "movement of electrons" means that electrons are lost, close to 20% from round-trip efficiencies, line losses & just normal fluctuations on the grid from a fluctuating generation source, solar panels. So if 100 MW is required by dispatch control, then 125 MW will be required to be input. Hugely inefficient & again paid for by SRP rate payers. Finally, and I am not a lawyer but have seen enough litigation, there is the issue of Chain Of Custody for panel disposal. Yes, I'm sure the current contractor and PPA T & C's all explain how it is to be properly done & SRP has been assured there are no issues. I can imagine the same assurances were made to the 3M Board as they debated the rollout of their new water-proofing products, now referred to as PFAS. Wonder if they would have approved the rollout if they knew then what we know now. 3M and other users have paid billions of dollars as settlement for damages & the health impacts are just starting. Just think about all the lawyer ads regarding asbestos! The current plans call for

the use of hundreds of thousands of solar panels, if not millions, all of which will come to their useful life and be in need of disposal. There are elements in those panels that, if not properly handled, can escape into the environment, both water and air. And they will never go away so a very long Chain Of Custody. In 2000, due to the de-regulation of the electric utility industry, 2 of the largest electric utilities, IOU's, almost went bankrupt. The share holders bore the brunt of those losses, but the companies lost billions of dollars. They are still losing monies due to their assets starting fires. And SRP is following right in their footsteps! Has Council discussed this in detail? SRP could be billions of dollars in debt either from the need to purchase spot-market energy, causing black-outs or EPA related issues for decades to come. The potential risk is enormous! As a Co-op, who is ultimately responsible? The State? Federal Government? Board Members? Land Owners? Eventually, "we the rate payer" will be picking up the tab thru a combination of higher rates, higher taxes and higher prices for good & service. But is this how this Board wants its legacy to be remembered? Sustainability has been around for Centuries... Waste Not, Want Not or A Stitch In Time Saves Nine or A Penny Saved Is A Penny Earned. Now the theme is "Haste Makes Waste". Maybe this entire plan, i.e., "greening the fleet" needs to go back to Square One. Thank You

Name: Martha Cortez

Record Number: c8842a79

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Why are we paying a monthly service fee of \$25 on top of our already high fees for electricity? We have lived her for 23 years plus and have never paid more then \$80 in the winter and because of your fee we now pay over \$100 during the winter???? This should be illegal!

Name: Robert Nish

Record Number: MI7059347

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Nish.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7059347*

Comment:

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar. The sun will be shining brightly long after the dams you rely on for power generation hold more sediment than they do water. You should invest in solar too.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Mac Nish

Name: Elizabeth Hunter

Record Number: MI7060765

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Hunter.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7060765*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Hunter

Name: Tom Wenzel

Record Number: MI7061364

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Wenzel.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7061364*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Wenzel

Name: Susan Benton

Record Number: MI7062930

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Benton.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7062930*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies don't currently reflect that.

I'm writing to ask SRP to reconsider its pricing to ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy systems that will only get worse as climate change intensifies—and it is indeed intensifying.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. You must ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mrs. Susan Benton

Name: Terry Tedesco

Record Number: MI7063688

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Tedesco.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7063688*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Ms. Terry Tedesco

Name: Laurie Stoff

Record Number: MI7064286

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Stoff.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7064286*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Dr. Laurie Stoff

Name: M Dornan

Record Number: MI7065505

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Dornan.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7065505*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. M C Dornan

Name: Roland Romo

Record Number: MI7066439

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Romo.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7066439*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader but SRP's rates and policies do not currently reflect that. I am writing to urge SRP to reconsider its pricing and ensure that customers are rewarded for investing in clean and efficient technologies like solar power.

Arizonans deserve energy choice and the opportunity to invest in local, resilient energy sources. SRP's proposal to increase the monthly fixed charge not only discourages customers from investing in clean energy projects, it also hits low-income households the hardest. Ultimately, high fixed fees discourage efforts to conserve electricity, putting more strain on Arizona's energy system.

Arizona is a leading producer in solar energy. I urge you to ensure that SRP's pricing plans are fair to customers who have chosen to invest in solar.

Thank you for your consideration,

Sincerely,

Mr. Roland Romo

Name: Joy Seitz

Record Number: MI7067799

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: ASR Customer Proposal_SRP Rate Comparison.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7067799*

Comment:

*See attached American Solar and Roofing Customer Proposal, SRP Rate Comparison



THE POWER OF CHOICE



6.2 kW-DC Solar Electric Power System Proposal

RATE COMPARISON PROPOSAL (E14 vs E28)

SRP Customer Residence

Phoenix, Arizona

Quote A



Preferred Solar Installer

Not an affiliate or agent of SRP. SRP assumes no liability for this installer's products or services.



TOP 10
2011-2023



Prepared by: Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant | [480] 941-7410 | Sean.Seitz@americansolarandroofing.com

American Solar & Roofing, 2850 S. 36th St, Ste A-12, Phoenix, AZ 85034 | Arizona ROC Licenses: #168657 [CR-11], #236520 [CR-42]



Our Topics Today

MAIN POINTS

About Us

What Makes Us Different?

How Solar Works

Brands We Trust

Understanding Your Rates

Your Solar System

Prepared By:

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant

About Us

WHO WE ARE



American Solar & Roofing created the residential solar market in Arizona in 2001. We installed the first residential, grid-connected solar electric systems in Phoenix and Scottsdale and have led solar policy initiatives locally and nationwide.

Our family-owned business takes pride in offering our employees a number of benefits, including:

- * 2 weeks paid-time-off per year
- * 1 week of sick leave per year
- * Paternity/maternity leave
- * Health insurance with company contribution
- * Access to vision, dental, disability and life insurance

Prepared By:

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant



About Us

WHAT MAKES US DIFFERENT



EDUCATE-FIRST APPROACH

Solar is not one-size-fits-all so our approach is creating the perfect system for you.

ALL-IN-ONE COMPANY

Everyone from Accounting to Sales that you meet is an AS&R employee!


LICENSED ROOFERS

We are the only solar installer in AZ who is also a licensed roofer!

Prepared By:

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant

How Solar Works



Your Solar Electric Power System will generate power for use in your home. Any power not consumed by your home will be exported to your utility company.

Solar power you consume will be valued at the utility's retail rate at the time you consume the power. Exported solar power will be recorded by the utility's bi-directional meter and will be credited at the utility's export rate.

At the end of the billing cycle you will be charged for any utility power you consumed. You will also be credited for any exports you have sent to the utility.



Brands We Trust


HOW WE CHOOSE PRODUCT

- Financially stable and diverse
- Share our sustainable values
- Excellent products and materials



Prepared By: _____

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant



Solar Electric Power System Proposal

SRP Customer Residence, Phoenix, Arizona



Major System Components

SOLAR PANELS



MODEL NUMBER

MB-390-HJT120-BB-T2

QUANTITY

16

WARRANTIES

Performance

25 YEARS

Workmanship

25 YEARS



INVERTER TECHNOLOGY



MODEL NUMBER(S)

SBSE5.8-US-50

WARRANTIES

10 YEARS




**BATTERY-READY
HYBRID INVERTER**



WiFi Monitoring Equipped


Prepared By: _____

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant





6.2 kW-DC Solar Electric System - Array Layout



1ST YR - SYSTEM PRODUCTION

11,753

KWH

KWH OFFSET: 67%

25 YR - SYSTEM PRODUCTION

285,011

KWH

LAST 12 MO - UTILITY CONSUMPTION

17,500

KWH

ESTIMATED


LAST 12 MO - UTILITY EXPENSE

\$2,450

ESTIMATED

Prepared By: _____

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant





SRP's E-14 Rate and Your 25 Year Solar Rate (CURRENT)

UTILITY

PEAK SUMMER

Peak 2-8 PM M-F	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak **
\$0.28	\$0.10	\$0.09
PER KWH	PER KWH	PER KWH

SUMMER

Peak 2-8 PM M-F	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak **
\$0.25	\$0.10	\$0.09
PER KWH	PER KWH	PER KWH

WINTER

Peak 5-9 AM+PM M-F	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak **
\$0.12	\$0.10	\$0.08
PER KWH	PER KWH	PER KWH

SOLAR

25 Year Rate*
\$0.05
 PER KWH

EXPORT

All Exports
\$0.028
 PER KWH

PAYBACK

Moderate Export Scenario*
11
 YEARS

MODERATE EXPORT SCENARIO

SEASON	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
SUMMER PEAK	10%	10%
SUMMER	10%	10%
WINTER	0%	10%

* ASSUMES ELIGIBLE TAX CREDITS ARE UTILIZED
 ** 11 PM - 5 AM WEEKDAYS, WEEKENDS AND HOLIDAYS



Solar Electric Power System Proposal

SRP Customer Residence, Phoenix, Arizona



Savings Estimate and Lifetime Payment Analysis (E-14 Rate)

Utility Bill - Savings Estimate*

	<u>Annual</u>	<u>Average Monthly</u>
Current SRP Bill - Estimated:	\$2,450.00	\$204.17
New SRP Bill - Estimated:	\$1,228.04	\$102.34
Reduction in SRP Bill - Estimated:	(\$1,221.96)	(\$101.83)

Lifetime Payment Analysis**


25 Years of Payments to SRP - Without Solar:	\$61,250.00
25 Years of Payments to SRP - With Solar:	\$30,701.01
Reduction in Payments to SRP:	(\$30,548.99)
Annual Increase to SRP Rates:	0.0%

* New SRP Bill based on Moderate Export Scenario

** Assumes no change in Export Rate or Rate Structures

Prepared By: _____

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant



Solar Electric Power System Proposal

SRP Customer Residence, Phoenix, Arizona



SRP's E-28 Rate and Your 25 Year Solar Rate

UTILITY

PEAK SUMMER

Peak 6-9 PM M-F Off Pk 6-8 AM 3-6 PM** Super Off Pk 8 AM-3 PM

\$0.44

PER KWH

\$0.14

PER KWH

\$0.07

PER KWH

SUMMER

Peak 6-9 PM M-F Off Pk 6-8 AM 3-6 PM** Super Off Pk 8 AM-3 PM

\$0.21

PER KWH

\$0.16

PER KWH

\$0.04

PER KWH

WINTER

Peak 6-9 PM M-F Off Pk 6-8 AM 3-6 PM** Super Off Pk 8 AM-3 PM

\$0.17

PER KWH

\$0.15

PER KWH

\$0.05

PER KWH

SOLAR

25 Year Rate*

\$0.05

PER KWH

EXPORT

All Exports

\$0.03

PER KWH

PAYBACK

Moderate Export Scenario*

18

YEARS

MODERATE EXPORT SCENARIO

SEASON	ON-PEAK	OFF-PEAK
SUMMER PEAK	10%	10%
SUMMER	10%	10%
WINTER	0%	10%

* ASSUMES ELIGIBLE TAX CREDITS ARE UTILIZED

** WEEKENDS AND HOLIDAYS EXTEND PAST 6 PM

2850 S. 36th St, Ste A-12, Phoenix, AZ 85034
 OFFICE [480] 994-1440 FAX [480] 994-1438
 AZ ROC Licenses: #168657 [CR-11], #236520 [CR-42]
 www.americansolarandroofing.com

Prepared By: _____

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant

Solar Electric Power System Proposal

SRP Customer Residence, Phoenix, Arizona



Savings Estimate and Lifetime Payment Analysis (E-28 Rate)

Utility Bill - Savings Estimate*

	<u>Annual</u>	<u>Average Monthly</u>
Current SRP Bill - Estimated:	\$2,450.00	\$204.17
New SRP Bill - Estimated:	\$1,706.76	\$142.23
Reduction in SRP Bill - Estimated:	(\$743.24)	(\$61.94)

Lifetime Payment Analysis**

25 Years of Payments to SRP - Without Solar:	\$61,250.00
25 Years of Payments to SRP - With Solar:	\$42,669.12
Reduction in Payments to SRP:	(\$18,580.88)
Annual Increase to SRP Rates:	0.0%


* New SRP Bill based on Moderate Export Scenario

** Assumes no change in Export Rate or Rate Structures

2850 S. 36th St, Ste A-12, Phoenix, AZ 85034
OFFICE [480] 994-1440 FAX [480] 994-1438
AZ ROC Licenses: #168657 [CR-11], #236520 [CR-42]
www.americansolarandroofing.com



Prepared By: _____

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant





Steps to Complete Your Project*





Residential Solar Electric System Quote

Prepared for:

SRP Customer
 12345 N 1st St
 Phoenix, AZ 85000

Installation Address:

12345 N 1st St
 Phoenix, AZ 85000

Client Contact Info:

[602] 111-1111
 customer@solar.com

Quote Date:

12-Feb-25

Expiration Date:

19-Feb-25

Quote Version:

A

Prepared by:

Sean Seitz, Founder / Solutions Consultant
 Sean.Seitz@americansolarandroofing.com, [480] 941-7410

Roof Type:

Pitched-Shingle

Utility:

SRP

ESTIMATED DATE OF COMPLETION*: 5-Apr-25

PV ARRAY CAPACITY: 6.2 kW-DC

QUANTITY	DESCRIPTION
	APPROVALS & SYSTEM DESIGN
1	System Design and Engineering; Management of Jurisdictional / Utility Approvals
1	Municipal / County Building Permit
	MAJOR SYSTEM COMPONENTS
	Solar Panels
16	Meyer Burger MB-390-HJT120-BB-2, 390 W-STC
	Solar Inverter(s)
1	SMA SBSE5.8-US-50, 5.8 kW-AC Hybrid Inverter
0	(Additional Inverter Not Required)
0	(Additional Inverter Not Required)
	Solar Array Mounting System
16	Mounting System and Roof Integration Components (flashings, sealants, etc.)
	INSTALLATION LABOR & MATERIALS
1	Installation Labor & Project Management
1	Electrical Installation Materials (wire, conduit, switches, meters, etc.)
	STANDARD FEATURE
16	APsmart Rapid Shutdown Device
	CUSTOM FEATURES SELECTED
	WiFi Monitoring Set-up (requires Client-supplied WLAN)
	SMA Backup Secure Outlet
	DC Conduit Run Concealed in Attic
	Critter Guard Installed at Array Perimeter

Payment Schedule

System Price	\$20,262.70
Deposit	\$1,000.00
Due at Design Confirmation	\$7,091.94
Due at Construction Start	\$10,651.05
Due at System Commissioning	\$1,519.70

Total	\$ 20,262.70
-	\$ -
Sales Tax	\$ -
System Price	\$ 20,262.70
Arizona Tax Credit **	\$ (1,000.00)
Federal Tax Credit **	\$ (6,078.81)
Net Cost after Tax Credits	\$ 13,183.89

Client Signature: _____

Date: _____

* Estimated Date of Completion is an estimate only. See AS&R's CCA document for more information on factors that may impact this date.

** AS&R always suggests consulting a tax advisor.





Statement of General Performance

Prepared for:

SRP Customer
12345 N 1st St
Phoenix, AZ 85000

Installation Address:

12345 N 1st St
Phoenix, AZ 85000

Client Contact Info:

[602] 111-1111
customer@solar.com

Prepared On:

12-Feb-25

Prepared By:

Sean Seitz

PV Module & PV Array Data:

PV Module: MB-390-HJT120-BB-T2
Module Temp Coefficient of Performance: 0.259%
PV Array Rated Power: 6.2 kW-DC
Array Power Rating - Azimuth 1: 3.12 kW-STC @ 180 deg
Array Power Rating - Azimuth 2: 3.12 kW-STC @ 270 deg
Array Power Rating - Azimuth 3: Not Applicable
Array Power Rating - Azimuth 4: Not Applicable

Inverter Data:

Inverter 1: SBSE5.8-US-50
CEC Rated Efficiency = 97.5 %
Inverter 2: (Additional Inverter Not Required)
CEC Rated Efficiency = 0 %
Inverter 3: (Additional Inverter Not Required)
CEC Rated Efficiency = 0 %

Additional Inputs


NREL Data Set: Phoenix
Power Lost Factor: 2.5%
SF Azimuth 1: 1 W; 1 S
SF Azimuth 2: 1 W; 1 S

Solar Electric System - First Year Performance Estimate

Month	Avg Max Temp (°C)	Azimuth 1 kWh / Month	Azimuth 2 kWh / Month	Azimuth 3 kWh / Month	Azimuth 4 kWh / Month	Monthly kWh	Days per Month	Daily kWh
January	19.8	386	268	0	0	653	31	21
February	21.6	427	323	0	0	749	28	27
March	25.6	538	457	0	0	995	31	32
April	29.7	618	556	0	0	1,174	30	39
May	34.7	659	645	0	0	1,304	31	42
June	40.1	620	622	0	0	1,242	30	41
July	41.4	615	611	0	0	1,225	31	40
August	40.6	597	561	0	0	1,158	31	37
September	38.0	551	472	0	0	1,022	30	34
October	31.8	517	404	0	0	921	31	30
November	24.7	407	295	0	0	701	30	23
December	19.0	364	245	0	0	609	31	20

Summer kWh	6,872	Winter kWh	4,881	1st Year kWh	11,753
High kWh (+10%)	12,928	Low kWh (-10%)	10,578	kWh / kW-STC	1,883

First Year Performance Estimate
Monthly kWh



SRP E-14

Customer Generation TOU Export with EV

Standard Charges

	Service	Monthly Avg	Yearly
Basic Service Charge:	200A	\$32.44	\$389.28
Current Basic Service Charge:	ALL	\$20.00	\$240.00
		Difference in BSC:	\$149.28
Sales Tax Rate:	9%		

Solar Electric System Specs

kW-STC	6.24
1st Yr kWh	11,753

Peak / Off-Peak / Super-Peak Hours

Summer Peak Hours: 2 - 8 PM, M-F
 Winter Peak Hours: 5 - 9 AM & 5 - 9 PM, M-F
 Off-Peak Hours: All other hours, including weekends and holidays

Export Rate (per kWh)
\$0.0281

Energy Rates*
 (*kWh + Adjustors + Sales Tax)

Month	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak
Jan	\$0.1248	\$0.1015	\$0.0838
Feb	\$0.1248	\$0.1015	\$0.0838
Mar	\$0.1248	\$0.1015	\$0.0838
Apr	\$0.1248	\$0.1015	\$0.0838
May	\$0.2474	\$0.1026	\$0.0858
Jun	\$0.2474	\$0.1026	\$0.0858
Jul	\$0.2818	\$0.1031	\$0.0861
Aug	\$0.2818	\$0.1031	\$0.0861
Sep	\$0.2474	\$0.1026	\$0.0858
Oct	\$0.2474	\$0.1026	\$0.0858
Nov	\$0.1248	\$0.1015	\$0.0838
Dec	\$0.1248	\$0.1015	\$0.0838

Export Assumptions
 (% of Generation)

	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak
Summer	10%	10%	n/a
Summer Peak	10%	10%	n/a
Winter	0%	10%	n/a

Month	Solar Production			Solar Exports			Value of Solar Exports	Solar Consumption			Value of Solar Consumption			Total Value of Solar Consumption	Total Solar Value
	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak		Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak		
Jan	49	604		5	60		\$1.84	44	544		\$5.52	\$55.17		\$60.69	\$62.52
Feb	73	676		7	68		\$2.11	66	608		\$8.24	\$61.72		\$69.96	\$72.07
Mar	119	876		12	88		\$2.80	107	788		\$13.41	\$79.98		\$93.39	\$96.18
Apr	65	1,109		6	111		\$3.30	58	998		\$7.27	\$101.27		\$108.54	\$111.84
May	332	972		33	97		\$3.66	299	875		\$73.86	\$89.72		\$163.58	\$167.25
Jun	338	904		34	90		\$3.49	304	814		\$75.21	\$83.45		\$158.66	\$162.15
Jul	331	894		33	89		\$3.44	298	805		\$83.98	\$82.97		\$166.95	\$170.39
Aug	302	856		30	86		\$3.25	271	771		\$76.48	\$79.47		\$155.95	\$159.20
Sep	250	773		25	77		\$2.87	225	696		\$55.57	\$71.34		\$126.91	\$129.78
Oct	202	719		20	72		\$2.59	182	647		\$44.91	\$66.40		\$111.31	\$113.90
Nov	73	629		7	63		\$1.97	65	566		\$8.15	\$57.44		\$65.59	\$67.56
Dec	51	557		5	56		\$1.71	46	502		\$5.77	\$50.91		\$56.68	\$58.39
Totals	2,184	9,569	0	218	957	0	\$33.03	1,966	8,612	0	\$458.38	\$879.83	\$0.00	\$1,338.21	\$1,371.24
	Annual Solar Production (kWh)			Total Solar Exported (kWh)			1,175	Total Solar Consumed (kWh)			Avg Value (per kWh)			\$0.117	
	Annual kWh / kW-STC			Percentage Exported			10.0%	Percentage Consumed			Difference in BSC			\$149.28	
											Annual Value of Solar - BSC Diff			\$1,221.96	
											Annual SRP Bill - Before Solar			\$2,450.00	
											Annual SRP Bill - After Solar			\$1,228.04	

SRP E-28

Proposed New Customer Generation TOU Rate

Standard Charges

	Service	Monthly Avg	Yearly
Basic Service Charge:	200A	\$30.00	\$360.00
Current Basic Service Charge:	ALL	\$30.00	\$360.00
		Difference in BSC:	\$0.00

Solar Electric System Specs

KW-STC	6.24
1st Yr kWh	11,753

Sales Tax Rate:

9%

Peak / Off-Peak / Super-Peak Hours

Peak Hours: 6 - 9 PM, M-F
 Super Off Peak Hours: 8:00 AM - 3:00 PM
 Off-Peak Hours: All other hours, including weekends and holidays

Export Rate (per kWh)
\$0.0345

Export Assumptions (% of Generation)		
Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak
0%	10%	10%
0%	10%	10%
0%	10%	10%

Summer
 Summer Peak
 Winter

Energy Rates* (*kWh + Adjustors + Sales Tax)			
Month	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak
Jan	\$0.1650	\$0.1483	\$0.0477
Feb	\$0.1650	\$0.1483	\$0.0477
Mar	\$0.1650	\$0.1483	\$0.0477
Apr	\$0.1650	\$0.1483	\$0.0477
May	\$0.2061	\$0.1648	\$0.0437
Jun	\$0.2061	\$0.1648	\$0.0437
Jul	\$0.4388	\$0.1397	\$0.0727
Aug	\$0.4388	\$0.1397	\$0.0727
Sep	\$0.2061	\$0.1648	\$0.0437
Oct	\$0.2061	\$0.1648	\$0.0437
Nov	\$0.1650	\$0.1483	\$0.0477
Dec	\$0.1650	\$0.1483	\$0.0477

Month	Solar Production			Solar Exports			Value of Solar Exports	Solar Consumption			Value of Solar Consumption			Total Value of Solar Consumption	Total Solar Value
	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak		Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak	Peak	Off-Peak	Super Off-Peak		
Jan	0	43	610	0	4	61	\$2.25	0	39	549	\$0.00	\$5.75	\$26.21	\$31.97	\$34.22
Feb	1	83	666	0	8	67	\$2.58	1	74	599	\$0.11	\$11.03	\$28.61	\$39.75	\$42.34
Mar	5	136	853	0	14	85	\$3.41	5	123	768	\$0.88	\$18.19	\$36.67	\$55.75	\$59.16
Apr	10	166	998	0	17	100	\$4.02	10	149	898	\$1.57	\$22.13	\$42.89	\$66.60	\$70.61
May	17	212	1,074	0	21	107	\$4.44	17	191	967	\$3.59	\$31.48	\$42.25	\$77.32	\$81.75
Jun	23	213	1,006	0	21	101	\$4.20	23	191	906	\$4.75	\$31.53	\$39.58	\$75.86	\$80.06
Jul	20	207	999	0	21	100	\$4.16	20	186	899	\$8.61	\$26.02	\$65.35	\$99.98	\$104.14
Aug	12	179	967	0	18	97	\$3.95	12	161	870	\$5.44	\$22.45	\$63.27	\$91.17	\$95.12
Sep	4	129	889	0	13	89	\$3.51	4	116	800	\$0.83	\$19.14	\$34.98	\$54.96	\$58.47
Oct	0	90	831	0	9	83	\$3.18	0	81	748	\$0.01	\$13.36	\$32.68	\$46.05	\$49.23
Nov	0	47	654	0	5	65	\$2.42	0	43	589	\$0.00	\$6.31	\$28.11	\$34.42	\$36.84
Dec	0	34	575	0	3	58	\$2.10	0	30	518	\$0.00	\$4.48	\$24.72	\$29.20	\$31.30
Totals	92	1,538	10,123	0	154	1,012	\$40.23	92	1,384	9,111	\$25.80	\$211.87	\$465.33	\$703.00	\$743.24
	Annual Solar Production (kWh)			Total Solar Exported (kWh)				Total Solar Consumed (kWh)			Avg Value (per kWh)				
	11,753			1,166				10,587			\$0.063				
	Annual kWh / KW-STC			Percentage Exported				Percentage Consumed			Difference in BSC				
	1,883			9.9%				90.1%			\$0.00				
	Annual Value of Solar														
	\$743.24														
	Annual SRP Bill - Before Solar														
	\$2,450.00														
	Annual SRP Bill - After Solar														
	\$1,706.76														

SRP Public Price Process Comments from: 2/14/2025

Name: Barbara Osborne

Record Number: c451fb26

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

To Whom It May Concern: I am deeply distressed by the higher rate hike for those like myself who generate energy through solar panels. In an attempt to be environmentally conservative, using solar energy for utilities, I have been caught between SRP's necessary relationship (insisting that we be granted permission by you for our solar installation) and your inability to accommodate all this wonderful extra power on your grid. Rather than hike the rates for solar users, give us back energy, thereby reducing our costs?! You have never contacted your consumers via email or text about this irrational hike. I do not understand why SRP does not offer alternatives to a rate hike. We are already on time of day, which I hear will change, making our solar power even more costly. We invested in this plan to help both ourselves and SRP. Isn't it time you do the same!!!!????

Name: Norm Sandler

Record Number: MI7070929

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: History of SRP.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7070929*

Comment:

There were a couple of consultants and Board Members asking about some of the "history" of SRP; this might be helpful... really pretty darn interesting. Would you mind passing along?

<https://www.srpnet.com/assets/srpnet/pdf/about/history/Story-of-SRP-History-Book.pdf>

Thanks one again for your assistance,
Norm Sandler

Name: Norm Sandler

Record Number: MI7069844

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: The True Price of Net Neutral.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7069844*

Comment:

From: Norm UP

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2025 6:31 AM

To: SRP Corporate Secretary

Subject: The True Price of Net Neutral

Good Morning,

Would you please forward this to the SRP Board and Leadership; this is regarding the new pricing proposal and the move to renewables.

Please at least watch the first 10 minutes:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YbxpieEQ7bc>

Attached are my speaking notes for tomorrows Board Meeting,

Thank you

Norm Sandler

Name: Terry Crookston

Record Number: MI7071788

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Give solar customers a fair deal!_Crookston.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7071788*

Comment:

Give solar customers a fair deal!

Dear Corporate Secretary,

Arizona is a solar leader, so how do SRP's rates and policies not reflect that? SRP pricing can reward customers for choosing solar.

Arizonans will have fewer renewable energy choices until the energy monopolies expand investments in renewable energy.

Arizona is a leading producer of solar energy. Please make SRP's pricing plans incentivised to customers' solar choices.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Ms. terry crookston

Name: Steve Neil

Record Number: MI7072662

Delivery Method: Email to Corporate Secretary

Attachments: Board's rules and regulations regarding ARS 48-2334.pdf

**To receive a copy of Attachments please
contact the Corporate Secretary's Office and Reference
Record #MI7072662*

Comment:

From: Steve Neil

Sent: Friday, February 14, 2025 10:02 AM

To: SRP Corporate Secretary

Subject: Board's rules and regulations regarding ARS 48-2334

This request is not related to the current pricing process.

ARS 48-2334(F) says this:

"F. The board of directors shall establish and enforce rules and regulations to carry out the purposes of this section."

I would like to read these. If these rules and regulations are embedded in a larger set, please send the larger set.

--Steve

Name: Todd wynn

Record Number: 2cc6361a

Delivery Method: Digital Submission

Comment:

Members of the Salt River Project Board, The Arizona Chapter of the Associated General Contractors (AZAGC) serves as the voice of the state's construction industry, striving to create a strong, vibrant, competitive and safe market for our diverse membership that includes general contractors, subcontractors, service providers and suppliers engaged in highway and transportation, federal, heavy, industrial and utility construction. While AZAGC has an interest in many public policy issues, our association plays an active role in supporting pro-growth proposals that leads to sustained infrastructure investment. For that reason, I write to you today in support of the recent pricing proposal. By approving this proposal, you will help ensure continued investment in Arizona. AZAGC's members are engaged in a wide range of activities in Arizona, including building, transportation, telecommunications and water, natural gas and electric utility construction. When it comes to utility work, investment from our state's providers not only sustains good paying jobs for the installation, maintenance and replacement of energy and water infrastructure, but it also promotes prosperity for contractors in supporting fields like traffic control, trench excavation, pavement restoration and leak survey. In Arizona and states around the country, the demand for reliable energy continues to grow. To ensure Salt River Project can confidently invest to keep up with Arizona's burgeoning needs, it will be necessary for the Board to address challenges related maintaining a reliable grid, making continued investments in the transition to new resources and technologies, and ensuring SRP's long-term financial stability. On behalf of our more than 200 contractor and affiliate members and the tens of thousands of construction workers they employ, we respectfully encourage the Board to adopt the new policy proposal. Sincerely, Todd Wynn
Director of Governmental Affairs Arizona Chapter Associated General Contractors
